

ACERA Project: 0709

1. **Project Title:** A comparison of quarantine risk analysis systems
2. **Theme:** Risk analysis
3. **Rationale:** This project will compare quarantine risk analysis systems (i.e. the systems of 'import risk analysis' used for animals and animal products, and of 'pest risk analysis' used for plants and plant products) by other countries. Some information on other country's biosecurity risk analysis systems was compiled during the Nairn Review (1996) and in a subsequent preliminary comparative study (of Canada, New Zealand and the United States) conducted for QEAC in 1998. This study will provide a comparative assessment of the quarantine risk analysis systems used in a number of other countries (perhaps including Canada, New Zealand, the United States, the European Union, Chile, Thailand) on the basis of:
 1. Steps and timing of the process
 2. Methods used in hazard identification
 3. Methods used in risk assessment
 4. Methods used in consequence assessment
 5. Consultation and involvement of stakeholders
 6. Use of relevant international standards (OIE, IPPC)
 7. Expression of appropriate level of protection (ALOP)

The study will lead to improved understanding of quarantine risk analysis systems used overseas and provide a coherent set of data that can be used as a reference and a source of ideas.

4. **Outputs:** A comparative assessment of risk analysis methods and processes used by a range of countries.
5. **Time frame:** Commencing: January, 2007 Finishing: *December, 2007*

6. **Project Proponent(s):**

Name	Organisation
Bill Roberts	BA
Mike Nunn	BA

7. **Resources**

	06/07	07/08	08/09
<i>Salaries and stipends</i>			
<i>Travel</i>			
<i>Operating and capital</i>			
Project Total	\$0	\$73,426	\$60,139

8. **End Users: BA**